



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/788,902

02/26/2004

Christopher W. Blackburn

1842.022US1

4532

70648

7590

10/30/2007

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/WMS GAMING

P.O. BOX 2938

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

RENWICK, REGINALD A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3714

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/30/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/788,902

Applicant(s)

BLACKBURN ET AL.

Examiner

Reginald A. Renwick

Art Unit

3714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/20/2007
8-20-07
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement filed on August 30, 2005 has been considered on August 2, 2007 for review in the examination of the disclosed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatto (U.S. Patent No. 6,916,247) in view of Rowe (US Patent No. 6,645,077) in view of Rowe (U.S. Patent No. 7,131,909 herein known as '909) in view of Wesley (U.S. Patent No. 7,039,701) in view of Nguyen (U.S. Patent No. 5,638,448).

Re claim 1 and 20: Gato et al discloses a method for providing a service in a gaming network (col.15, lines 20-30; column 17, lines 15-18) sending service information for a service from the game update service to a discovery agent on the network (Fig. 20, col. 14, lines 11-33); determining by the discovery agent if the game update service is authentic and authorized; in response to determining that the game update service is authentic and authorized, publishing the service information to a service repository to make the game update service available on the gaming network (column 13, lines 64-

Art Unit: 3714

67); receiving by the discovery agent a request for the location of the game update service from the gaming machine (Fig. 20); returning the service information for the game update service to the gaming machine (col.13 lines 60-67; col. 14, lines 1-8) using the service information for the game update service to register the gaming machine with the game update service (column 14, lines 9-32). Furthermore Gatto discloses processing one or more requests between the gaming machine and the game update service to provide content on the gaming machine (Fig. 19; col. 15, lines 45-49; col. 15, lines 57-60; col. 16, lines 7-11; col. 18, lines 4-6). Gatto fails to disclose that the service is a game service. However, Rowe discloses such (Fig. 8).

Therefore, in view of Rowe, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the aforementioned limitation in order to provide updates to the game software in a timely manner and only parts of the software need be replaced, not for example, an entire disk (col. 11, lines 47-65 of Rowe).

Gatto and Rowe in combination fail to disclose providing game content for a plurality of gaming machines on the gaming network, wherein in response to a wager at a gaming machine of the plurality of gaming machines the gaming machine depicts an indication representative of a randomly selected outcome of a wagering game. Therefore attention must be directed towards '909 which discloses a method and apparatus for managing gaming machine code downloads where a game code server sends a game to a game machine on a network amongst a plurality of gaming machines on the gaming network (Abstract). Furthermore, '909 discloses a gaming machine that depicts

indica representative of a randomly selected outcome of a wagering game (col.3, lines 47-65; col.4, lines 13-27).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate downloadable game content to a gaming machine as disclosed by '909 into the gaming service network of Gatto and Rowe in combination because updating games at a particular machine requires technicians to travel to the gaming machine, take the gaming machine out of service, and load new code, which requires substantial manpower to accomplish that subsequently reduces profits, however with the more practical manner of sending downloadable game content to said gaming machine, the overall operation is achieved quickly and without the use of heavy manpower.

Gatto as modified by Rowe and '909 fail to disclose determining by the discovery agent if the game update service is authentic and authorized in response to determining that the game update service is authentic and authorized, publishing the service information to a service repository to make the game update service available; returning the service information for the game update service from the gaming machine; returning the service information for the game update service to the gaming machine.

Wesley discloses determining by the discovery agent if the game update service is authentic and authorized (column 18, lines 52-67, column 19, lines 14-19, 58-67; column 20, lines 1-10); in response to determining that the game update service is authentic and authorized, publishing the service information to a service repository to make the game update service available (Fig.1, column 19, lines 19-30); returning the service information for the game update service from the gaming machine (column 20,

Art Unit: 3714

lines 10-29); returning the service information for the game update service to the gaming machine.

Gatto as modified by Rowe and '909 discloses that a gaming machine receives content over a network through a server that distributes a service to the game machine as deemed necessary by the server. Wesley discloses that a client machine receives content from a service through a peer to peer basis as deemed necessary by the client machine through the use of a requestor. Because both Gatto as modified by Rowe and '909, and Wesley disclose methods of distributing a service to a machine, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute one method for another for the purpose of distributing a service to a machine.

Gatto, Rowe, '909, and Wesley fail to disclose verifying that the gaming machine is authorized to utilize the game update service.

However, Nguyen discloses a network with secure communications sessions wherein the service verifies that a client is authorize to utilize the service; and processing one or more service requests between the client and the service (column 3, lines 24-67).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the gaming service update machine of Gatto, Rowe, '909, and Wesley with the password protection method of Nguyen to prevent the exposure of sensitive data to unauthorized parties.

Art Unit: 3714

Re claim 2: Gatto discloses wherein the game update service comprises a web service (col.15, lines 49-56).

Re claim 3, 11, 15, 22, and 30: Gatto teaches wherein the service request comprises a request for notification of a game content update (col. 11, lines 39-45 of Rowe: in order for the player/gaming machine to initiate a download, it must be notified that there are game updates available) by the gaming machine (Fig. 20; col. 5, lines 1-2; col. 14, lines 10-32 of Gatto).

Re claim 4: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, teaches further comprising: receiving a game content change (col. 17, lines 10-12; col. 19, lines 55-58 of Gatto); and issuing a notification of the game content update to the gaming machine in response to the game content change (Fig. 20; col. 5, lines 1-2; col. 14, lines 10-32 of Gatto) (col.11, lines 39-45 of Rowe: in order for the player/gaming machine to initiate a download, it must be notified that there are game updates available).

Re claim 6: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, discloses wherein the service request is initiated by the gaming machine (col. 16, lines 1-3; col. 20, lines 32-37; col. 16, lines 7-11: if the gaming machine can function as either the service requestor or provider, then the request can be initiated by the gaming machine).

Art Unit: 3714

Re claim 7: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, discloses whereing the service request is initiated by the game update service (col. 16, lines 1-3; col. 20, lines 32-37; col. 16, lines 7-11: if the service can function as either the service requestor or providerm, then the request can be initiated by the service; as described in the specification of the current application (10/788902), this is the PUSH method (page 17, lines 26-28). The applicant admits that this method is prior art (page 20, lines 9-11)).

Re claim 8 and 27: Gatto et al discloses a method for updating content on a gaming machine via a update service on a network and using the service description to register the machine with the update service (column 14, lines 9-32). Gatto et al. does not disclose issuing a request from the gaming machine to a discovery service to discover a service description for the game update service wherein the discovery service receives the service description from the game update service and authenticates and authorizes the game update service, and wherein in response to a wager the gaming machine depicts indicia representative of a randomly selected outcome of a wagering game; receiving the service description from the discover agent; using the service description to register the gaming machine with the game update service, wherein the game update service verifies that the gaming machine is authorize to utilize the game update service; and processing one or more service requests between the gaming machine and the game update service.

Rowe discloses processing one or more service requests between the gaming machine and the game update service (Abstract).

Therefore, in view of Rowe, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the aforementioned limitation in order to provide updates to the game software in a timely manner and only parts of the software need be replaced, not for example, an entire disk (col. 11, lines 47-65 of Rowe).

Wesley discloses issuing a request from the gaming machine to a discovery service to discover a service description for the game update service wherein the discovery service receives the service description from the game update service and authenticates and authorizes the game update service (Abstract; column 9, lines 1-9; column 20, lines 29-46), receiving the service description from the discover agent (Fig.1);

'909 discloses and wherein in response to a wager the gaming machine depicts indicia representative of a randomly selected outcome of a wagering game (col.3, lines 47-65; col.4, lines 13-27).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate downloadable game content to a gaming machine as disclosed by '909 into the gaming service network of Gatto and Rowe in combination because updating games at a particular machine requires technicians to travel to the gaming machine, take the gaming machine out of service, and load new code, which requires substantial manpower to accomplish that subsequently reduces profits, however with the more practical manner of sending downloadable game content to said gaming machine, the overall operation is achieved quickly and without the use of heavy manpower.

Nguyen discloses that the game update service verifies that the gaming machine is authorize to utilize the game update service

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the gaming service update machine of Gatto, Rowe, '090, and Wesley with the password protection method of Nguyen to prevent the exposure of sensitive data to unauthorized parties.

Re claim 9: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, discloses wherein the game update service comprises a web service (col. 15, lines 49-56).

Re claim 10: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, discloses wherein the service description comprises a web service description language (col. 15, lines 52-53).

Re claim 12: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, teaches further comprising; receiving a notification that game content has been updated (Fig. 20; col. 5, lines 1-2; col. 14, lines 10-32 of Gatto); and issuing a request to download the game content (col. 15, lines 20-30 of Gatto) (col. 11, lines 39-45 of Rowe: in order for the player/gaming machine to initiate a download, it must be notified that there are game updates available).

Re claim 13: The limitations of claim 13 are disclosed in the aforementioned claim 1. However claim 1 does not disclose publishing the service information to a service repository to make the game update service available on the gaming network nor that

Art Unit: 3714

wherein at least one gaming machine of the plurality of gaming machines communicably coupled to the gaming network is operable to issue a request for the location of the progressive service to the discovery agent and use the service information received from the discovery agent to issue a registration request to the game update service. However, Wesley discloses at least one machine of the plurality of machines communicably coupled to the network is operable to issue a request for the location of the progressive service to the discovery agent and use the service information received from the discovery agent (column 16, lines 10-57).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made for the purpose of establishing a network connection with the content source.

Re claim 14: Gatto, as modified by Roew, discloses wherein the game update service comprises a web service (col. 15, lines 49-56).

Re claim 16: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, teaches wherein the game update service is further operable to: receive a game content change (col. 17, lines 10-12; col. 19, lines 55-58 of Gatto); and issue a notification of the game content update to the gaming machine in response to the game content change (Fig. 20; col. 5, lines 1-2; col. 14, lines 10-32 of Gatto) (col. 11, lines 39-45 of Rowe: in order for the player/gaming machine to initiate a download, it must be notified that there are game updates available).

Art Unit: 3714

Re claim 17: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, teaches wherein the service request comprises a request to download game content to the gaming machine (col. 15, lines 20-30 of Gatto) (col. 11, lines 39-45 of Rowe).

Re claim 18: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, discloses wherein the service request is initiated by the gaming machine (col. 16, lines 1-3; col. 20, lines 32-37; col. 16, lines 7-11: if the gaming machine can function as either the service requestor or provider, then the request can be initiated by the gaming machine).

Re claim 19: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, discloses wherein the service request is initiated by the game update service (col. 16, lines 1-3; col. 20, lines 32-37; col. 16, lines 7-11: if the service can function as either the service requestor or provider, then the request can be initiated by the service; as described in the specification of the current application (10/788902), this is the PUSH method (page 17, lines 26-28). The applicant admits that this method is prior art (page 20, lines 9-11)).

Re claim 21: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, discloses wherein the game update service comprises a web service (col. 15, lines 49-56).

Re claim 23: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, teaches wherein the method further comprises: receiving a game content change (col. 17, lines 10-12; col. 19, lines 55-58 of Gatto); and issuing a notification of the game content update to the gaming machine in

Art Unit: 3714

response to the game content change (Fig. 20; col. 5, lines 1-2; col. 14, lines 10-32 of Gatto) (col. 11, lines 39-45 of Rowe: in order for the player/gaming machine to initiate a download, it must be notified that there are game updates available).

Re claim 24: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, teaches wherein the service request comprises a request to download game content to the gaming machine (col. 15, lines 20-30 of Gatto) (col. 11, lines 39-45 of Rowe).

Re claim 25: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, discloses wherein the service request is initiated by the gaming machine (col. 16, lines 1-3; col. 20, lines 32-37; col. 16, lines 7-11: if the gaming machine can function as either the service requestor or provider, then the request can be initiated by the gaming machine).

Re claim 26: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, discloses wherein the service request is initiated by the game update service (col. 16, lines 1-3; col. 20, lines 32-37; col. 16, lines 7-11: if the service can function as either the service requestor or provider, then the request can be initiated by the service; as described in the specification of the current application (10/788902), this is the PUSH method (page 17, lines 26-28). The applicant admits that this method is prior art (page 20, lines 9-11)).

Art Unit: 3714

Re claim 28: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, discloses wherein the game update service comprises a web service (col. 15, lines 49-56).

Re claim 29: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, discloses wherein the service description comprises a web services description language (col. 15, lines 52-53).

Re claim 31: Gatto, as modified by Rowe, teaches wherein the method further comprises: receiving a notification that game content has been updated (Fig. 20; col. 5, lines 1-2; col. 14, lines 10-32 of Gatto); and issuing a request to download the game content (col. 15, lines 20-30 of Gatto) (col. 11, lines 39-45 of Rowe: in order for the player/gaming machine to initiate a download, it must be notified that there are game updates available).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald A. Renwick whose telephone number is 571-270-1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30AM-5:00PM, Alt Fridays, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

10/29/2007

RR



ROBERT E. PREZUTO
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER